

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/049,548	06/10/2002	Reiner Mitzkus	GK-ZEI-3152/500343.20153	7566
7590 08/05/2004			EXAMINER	
GERALD H. KIEL REED SMITH LLP			NGUYEN, THONG Q	
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29th FLOOR NEW YORK,, NY 10022		OR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	~
ız	
α.	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/049,548	MITZKUS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thong Q Nguyen	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	June 2002.		
· -	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 4-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a considered in the Examination is objected to be a considered in the Examination is objected to be a considered in the Examination is objected to be a considered in the Examination is objected in the	a) accepted or b) ⊠ obj e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a conty documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The present Office action is made in response to the pre-amendment filed on 6/10/2002. It is noted that in the mentioned amendment, applicant has made amendments to the specification and the claims as follow:
- A) Regarding to the changes in the specification, applicant has filed a substitute specification and a marked-up copy to shown the changes in the specification. It is noted that the substitute specification has NOT been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) due to the missing of a statement which states that the substitute specification does not contain any new matter. Thus, the applicant must file a statement stating that the substitute specification does not contain any new matter so that the substitute specification filed on 6/10/02 will be entered.
- B) Regarding to the claims, it is noted that applicant has canceled all of original claims 1-3 and added a new set of claims, i.e., claims 4-7, into the application. The newly-added claims 4-7 are examined in this Office action.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. The drawings contain two sheets of figures 1-3 were received on 6/10/2002. These drawings are objected by the Examiner for the following reason(s).

Art Unit: 2872

4. The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination.

Accordingly, replacement drawing sheets are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

Page 3

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature related to a filter holder having a marking as recited in claim 5 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of

Art Unit: 2872

any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See specification in page 1. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 7. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification is objected to because it does not have any headlines for the purpose of providing a clear framework of the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in

Art Unit: 2872

upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

- 9. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

 Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.
 - a) Claim 6/4 is objected because it fails to further limit the subject matter of its base claim 4. In particular, the claim recites that the filters are marked with respect to their wedge angle (see claim 6, line 2); however, the base claim 4

Art Unit: 2872

recites that the filters are marked with respect to the orientation of their wedge angle (see claim 4, lines 4-5). As such, the feature related to the markings of the filters recited in claim 6/4 fails to further limit the feature related to the markings of the filter recited in its base claim 4.

- b) The same problem as stated in element a) above is also occurred to claim 7/5/4. In particular, the feature related to the markings of the filters recited in claim 7/5/4 fails to further limit the feature related to the markings of the filter recited in its base claim 4.
- 10. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

The claim 4 is drafted under a Jepson format. See line 1 of the claim. However, the claim has not positively recited the improvement feature(s). Applicant should amend the claim by amendment or addition the claim languages for the purpose of comply with the requirement. See 37 CFR 1.75(e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

11. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

12. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 2872

a) Claim 4 is rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph because the claim recites a set of blocking filters having wedge angles; however, the specification has not provided sufficient information related to the so-called "wedge angle" of the blocking filter. In other words, it is unclear to one skilled in the art to determine whether the so-called "wedge angle" provided in the present specification and claimed is referred to the shape of the filter or the optical feature of the filter.

b) The remaining claim is dependent upon the rejected base claim and thus inherits the deficiencies thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 4-5, as best as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,180).

Hasegawa et al discloses a fluorescent microscope having an illuminating system for activating a fluorescent specimen. The illuminating system comprises a system having rotatable turret supporting a plurality of filters wherein each filter is provided with a marking. The turret also comprises a holder for supporting the filters wherein the support comprises a set of markings to shown an observer the type of filter being used at a particular time. See columns 7-10 and figs. 13+. It is

Art Unit: 2872

noted that the use of markings to shown the type of filter being used in the system at a particular time of the system as provided by the art does not disclose that the marking is arranged with respect to the orientation of the so-called "wedge angle" of the filter; however, one skilled in the art will use the marking to indicate an orientation of the filter for the purpose of providing a consistence or a reference for arrangement of the filters to make the alignment of each of the filters with respect to its supporting holder faster and more accuracy.

Conclusion

- 15. The additional references are cited as of interest in that each discloses an optical system having filters supported by a mechanism wherein the use of markings for filters is disclosed.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2316. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872
